



CBA FAMILY LAW SECTION NEWSLETTER

JANUARY 2005

LETTER FROM THE CHAIR

BONNIE SCHRINER

O to find the perfect words! Language is a powerful tool -- the ability to define a concept and gather a legion. The leader will be the person who most clearly communicates "follow me and I will simplify your life." Simple messages to deal with complex issues.

Now more than ever, it is important to remember:

Debate is not sedition -- Opposition is not treason.

Be mindful of messages which define issues and categorize opponents dismissively.

As we were recently reminded in an Executive Council meeting, democracy is messy, and people need to get comfortable with it. Debate must be allowed to the last vote, even bitterly. It can be uncomfortable, embarrassing, or annoying, but it is necessary. Your Executive Council debates many issues, and represents you in the Section. Please stay involved in your Section as we work with legislation, rules, and issues affecting you and your clients.

New Stuff:

Teleconferencing for non-Denver metro lawyers is available FREE for our January, February, and May CLE luncheon programs. Go to the website for more information on this. www.cobar.org. We're trying to offer more benefits to our members. That truly is the purpose of this Section. If you have ideas of how we can help, please let us know.

"Vendors in the Vestibule" will be Bradford Publishing Company and Bill Redak for our January luncheon. Each will be touting new Rule 16.2 forms and programs, especially the financial affidavit. State Judicial's new financial affidavit form is located at: <http://www.courts.state.co.us/chs/court/forms/domestic/jdf1111calc.doc>.

Family Law Institute 2005--SAVE THE DATE-- August 5-7 in Breckenridge!!

We start the New Year actively working with Rule 16.2 and a renewed spirit to work collegially with counsel to resolve our clients' disputes with full disclosure and openness throughout the resolution process, both in litigation and outside the court. In the world of art, it is known that the better the drawing, the better the painting. In litigation, the better the pretrial handling of the case, the better the litigation for both parties.

Continued On Page 3

In this Issue...

Letter from the Chair	Pg 1
Avoiding the Pitfalls of Recapture	Pg 3
Why Can't a Special Advocate Stay on a Case Once Permanent Orders are Entered and can the Parties Stipulate Otherwise?	Pg 4
New Rule 16.2 Case Management (Domestic Relations), a Magistrate's Perspective	Pg 5
Some Reminders Concerning Denver Court Procedures	Pg 6
2005 Tax Update for the Redak Child Support Program	Pg 7
Communication Facilitation to Reduce Conflict	Pg 7
FLS Treasurer's Report	Pg 7
Useful Websites for Family Law Practitioners	Pg 8
Pro Bono Flying Squads	Pg 9
Accessing Legislative Information via the Internet Brochure	Attached

FLS NEWSLETTER

Editors: Ellen Weston Squires and Jordan Fox

The editors will happily accept submission of articles for publication, letters to the Editors, or other items of interest. The editors retain the right to edit any and all submissions. Please e-mail articles to ellen@SquiresFamilyLaw.com.

The Newsletter deadlines for submission are:

March 15, 2005
June 15, 2005
September 15, 2005

FAMILY LAW SECTION OFFICERS

Chair: Bonnie Schriener
303-458-5100 or bonnie@bonnieschriener.com

Chair-Elect: Fran Fontana
303-987-1127 or ffontana@fontanafamilylaw.com

Secretary: David Johnson
719-471-1663 or johnson@kdjpc.com

Treasurer: Kathryn Beck
303-278-3078 barristerbeck@msn.com

Immediate Past Chair: Steve Lass
303-296-9412 or slass@khgk.com

COLORADO BAR ASSOCIATION FAMILY LAW SECTION EXECUTIVE COUNCIL 2004-2005

Terms ending 7/1/05:

Terry Bernuth
Martin Brown
Megan Combs
Steven B. Epstein
Robert T. Hinds III
Michael L. Luchetta
Robert L. Malman
Marie Avery Moses
Richard J. Rotole
Jacqueline St. Joan

Terms ending 7/1/06:

Deborah Anderson
Gretchen Aultman
Jordan Fox
Katie Hays
Beth Henson
David Littman
Joan McWilliams
Robert Smith
Brenda Storey
Richard Zuber

For those who were elected to Council and those who were not, your strong participation in this Section is essential. If you would like to become more active in this Section's work, please contact one of the officers or an Executive Council member. We have a job with your name on it.

Minutes of Executive Council Meetings are available, once approved by Council, online at cobar.org. Simply click on the Family Law Section button.

Letter from the Chair Continued

FLS contacted the courts and offered to help with implementation difficulties and with drafting local case management orders. We are committed to help this new procedure work well for our clients and those who are *pro se*.

As we've finished the most recent challenges and face new ones both substantively and procedurally, it has been a lesson of appreciation for all sides of the issues and those who were their advocates. Thanks to so many who remained partisans yet colleagues despite the differences.

Bonnie Schriener, Chair

Wishing you and yours a New Year full of health and happiness-- the true meaning of prosperity.



Experts Directory

Go to <http://www.cobar.org/group/index.cfm?category=1456&EntityID=FAMILY> to fill out a referral for your favorite experts and then fax or mail the form to Rob Hinds ASAP! Note, Rob's contact information is at the top of the referral form.



Update Re: Electronic Newsletter Distribution

For this issue of the FLS Newsletter, you should receive a copy by mail as well as a copy electronically. Thereafter, we anticipate a wholly electronic distribution. Please contact Jordan Fox, jfox@cagewilliams.com, or Ellen Weston Squires, ellen@squiresfamilylaw.com, if you have strong feelings about this issue. Your feedback is important to us!



Attention Family Law Section Members:

Be on the look-out for a membership survey coming soon to FLS website located at <http://www.cobar.org/group/index.cfm?EntityID=FAMILY>. You will have the opportunity to give feedback and suggestions, and may even be eligible to win a prize just for participating!



Proposed Family Law Book Review Column

There are many books which are of use to our family law clients, their children, and ourselves. If you know of such a book and would be willing to review one, albeit briefly, please contact Ellen Squires (ellen@squiresfamilylaw.com) so we can have your review in time for the next newsletter. Share the knowledge!!!

AVOIDING THE PITFALLS OF RECAPTURE

BY: JORDAN FOX, ESQ.

The Problem: The IRS can re-characterize maintenance payments as property distributions if there is a sharp decrease in the yearly amount of maintenance during the first three calendar years following the dissolution. The risk is that a party who claimed a deduction on their taxes for maintenance paid, may have their deduction disallowed and may be hit with penalties and interest for underpaying their taxes.

The Test: There is always a potential for recapture if yearly maintenance decreases by more than \$15,000, any time in the first three calendar years.

The Formula:

A. If the amount paid in the 3rd year plus \$15,000 is less than the amount paid in the second year, the excess will be recaptured.

B. The second and third year payments, less the recapture from the first calculation, will be averaged. If this adjusted average plus, \$15,000, is less than the payments in the first year, the excess amount will be recaptured.

Examples:

- A two-year maintenance order of more than \$1,250 per month causes a recapture problem.
- \$3,000 per month for year one, \$2,000 per month for year two, and \$1,000 per month for year three causes a recapture problem.

Solution: If in doubt, call your friendly neighborhood accountant. Also, you can contact me directly at jfox@cagewilliams.com for a simple (non-guaranteed) excel spreadsheet that can help you identify a recapture problem.

Jordan Fox is a director and shareholder at Cage Williams Abelman & Layden, P.C. His practice focuses primarily on the area of family law.

QUESTION: WHY CAN'T A SPECIAL ADVOCATE STAY ON A CASE ONCE PERMANENT ORDERS ARE ENTERED AND CAN THE PARTIES STIPULATE OTHERWISE?

RESPONSE BY DR. LES KATZ AND THERESA SPAHN

Standard 14 of the chief justice directive concerning court appointments of Special Advocates pursuant to C.R.S. 14-10-116 specifies that the Special Advocate shall request termination of the appointment when permanent orders or the post-decree adjudication is entered.

The rationale for this standard is that the Special Advocate role exists to assist the Court with pending motions regarding the best interests of children. As the commentary to the Standards indicates, "Once permanent orders, or orders concerning post-decree parental responsibility issues enter, the court has nothing more to do unless and until some issue is brought back before it by proper motion." Once the issue is settled, by definition there is no longer a role for the Special Advocate, since there are no remaining issues for the Court to decide.

This is not to suggest that a family might have some ongoing needs for a variety of services after the issue before the Court is settled.

Children may need counseling, a divorced couple might need coaching, or mediation, or divorce education, etc. In some cases, the parties have established a comfortable relationship with the Special Advocate and have a desire for that professional to continue working with them. Standards 4 and 5 address the issues of Special Advocates not serving in a dual role (standard 4), but being able to move on to a new role (Standard 5 - Parenting coordination) once the Special Advocate role has been terminated.

On a case-by-case basis, there is nothing to stop a Special Advocate from agreeing to serve in some new role with the family (although some roles, such as moving from a Special Advocate to a psychotherapist or an attorney for one of the parties, would be prohibited and ethically hazardous). When the case concludes, the Special Advocate role, as defined in the statute and in the Standards, no longer exists, and the new role has to be defined as some-

thing other than a Special Advocate.

While there are potentially positive reasons for a professional to continue working with a family (i.e., they know the family and have been effective with them), for the very same reasons we urge professionals to exercise caution deciding in which cases to agree to accept a new role. The nature of the role will have changed and the prior roles and relationships might serve as an impediment in the new role (e.g., previously unexpressed negative feelings on the part of the parties, a tendency on the part of the professional to be tied to a prior assessment blinding him/her to changes in the family, etc.). In many cases, the termination of the Special Advocate role coincides with a desire of family members to move on with their lives. There is a certain wisdom in having the Special Advocate role terminate, and the parties start working with a new professional if one is needed.

Suggestions Welcome Re: FLS Luncheons

Dear Members,

Tired of eating rubber chicken and mystery meat? Then we ask for your help! If you have any suggestions concerning a venue for our future Family Law Section luncheons, we need to hear from you! Please keep in mind that the facility must be able to accommodate 200 members, have the necessary equipment for our luncheon speakers, and have a meeting room for the Executive Council meetings that follow the luncheons. They must also be willing to enter into an annual contract so that we can schedule the luncheons for the entire year. I must also mention the fact that the venue must be affordable to keep the cost of the lunches relatively reasonable. Finally, the location should be as central to our entire membership as possible (which probably means the central Denver area). We do welcome any suggestions that meet these criteria, and will continue to look for the best location possible for future gatherings.

Your treasurer, Kathryn Beck

The Family Law Section wishes to extend a special thank you to Steve Harhai for continuing to provide a domestic law listserve. Thank you, Steve for this valuable resource! To join the listserve, contact Steve@harhai.com.

NEW RULE 16.2 CASE MANAGEMENT (DOMESTIC RELATIONS), A MAGISTRATE'S PERSPECTIVE

By LOUIS A. GRESH, DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE, DOUGLAS COUNTY

This column represents the views of its author concerning practice in the Douglas County District Court. The opinions expressed herein do not represent the Judicial Branch of the State of Colorado.

Once again the practice of Domestic Relations law has changed in the State of Colorado due to the implementation of C.R.C.P. 16.2 by the Supreme Court effective for all cases filed after January 1, 2005. If you have not yet read the rule you should do so as soon as possible so that you may better protect your client's interests. This discussion will give you an overview of the rule and the 18th Judicial District's plan for its implementation.

The rationale for the rule change was to implement an active case management system for all cases. This case management system will be controlled by the Family Court Facilitator, the District Magistrate or the District Judge assigned to your case. This column will not go over the rule section by section. It is intended to give the bar some practical guidance through the new rule. Next month's article will go over additional parts of the rule and I will include a time line analysis for practitioners. As we have all learned through the "Simplified Process" over the last several years, this is an evolving process that will constantly be worked on by the judiciary to ensure the prompt resolution of domestic cases. At later bench -bar conferences we will review the process to make it as efficient as possible.

The first thing counsel should consider is that counsel are exempted from this rule by the initial filing of full financial disclosures, a parenting plan (if applicable), a separation agreement, support order (if applicable) decree and affidavit for non appearance. Remember two envelopes and fee for certification. When a completed file comes to the Court it will be calendared by the clerk's office for a decision date in the respective division. This exemption should be considered by the practicing bar very closely. The new rule requires complete disclosure of all discovery. The rule requires full expert disclosure. The rule requires counsel to cooperate and to confer. Settlement conferences are required. If you are a practitioner who tenders financials on the date of temporary orders beware sanctions may be imposed upon you as the attorney for your conduct for stalling or frustrating the process.

The initial status conference shall be set at the time of the filing of the petition. It shall be set on the Court's docket within 40 days, regardless of service of process. If process has not occurred, bring a Motion for Publication, Order, and Affidavit of Diligent Efforts to the initial hearing. If counsel has a conflict with the initial date, that date may be reset to an earlier date. Counsel shall be prepared at the initial status conference to exchange Mandatory Disclosures and file a Certificate of Compliance. The Court will expect parenting classes to be completed and the certificate to be filed at that initial conference.

If both counsel are able to enter into a Stipulated Case Management Plan signed by the parties and their respective counsel, then they may be exempted from the initial status conference, assume that the stipulation is acceptable to the Court. Under a stipulated case management order that is currently in place, the parties are able to avoid the current status conference method. Unfortunately, in the last year that the stipulated order has been available, only 3 or 4 cases in Douglas have followed that procedure.

At the initial status conference the need for any experts in the case will be reviewed. If any expert is required, bring the appropriate Motion and the Proposed Order for the Court. The rule contemplates a single expert per issue per Colorado Rule of Evidence 706. If the parties cannot agree on the expert, then the Court will pick the expert. This does not foreclose the requesting party from hiring their own independent expert. Therefore, under the Rule, the Court could have three experts for every issue requiring expert testimony. The parties have the duty to cooperate with the expert and to comply with any document requests by the expert. If there is a need for any kind of expert, you will immediately be referred to a duty magistrate or judge for execution of the appropriate order at that conference.

At the initial status conference, any agreement which the parties desire to have entered as an Order of Court will be referred directly from the Court Facilitator to the Magistrate's division or the Judge's division for execution of the order. This means that at the initial hearing you may be referred immediately to Division 3, 4, or 4W.

Continued on Page 6

The Rule has a specific requirement concerning the filing of motions under (4)(A). A handful of motions are allowed to be filed. Read this paragraph! (4)(B) states "[a]ll other motions shall only be filed and scheduled as determined at a status conference or in an emergency upon order of court." Remember your definition of an emergency may not be my definition of an emergency. The filing of motions within days of a pending motions date, such as a temporary order that is seen by the Court as an action to inflame the proceedings or to unduly complicate the successful completion of the case, may subject the attorney to sanctions as an individual by the Court. Remember, for example, Thanksgiving is the last Thursday in November. Christmas falls on the same day of the year each year. New Years day is the same day each year. The school district publishes its calendar usually by February of the year that starts in May. Discuss these matters early with your clients. If parties cannot agree on out of state vacations, it is not an emergency.

The rule requires the early complete disclosure of all discovery issues and cooperation by counsel in that process. The rule requires the duty of disclosure without awaiting inquiry from the other party. The disclosure shall be conducted in accord with the duty of candor owing among those whose domestic issues are to be resolved under this rule. A party shall, without a formal discovery request, provide the Mandatory Disclosures as set forth under the Appendix to Chapters 1 to 17A, Form 35.1 and a completed financial affidavit to the other party within 40 days after the service of a petition or post decree motion involving financial issues. The parties are under an affirmative duty to amend or supplement disclosure in a timely manner. Updating the information on the date of hearing, to the surprise of opposing counsel, does not satisfy this requirement. The Court may impose sanctions as it deems appropriate for non-compliance with the rule. Appropriate sanctions were not defined by the rule. Therefore the Court may, in its discretion, determine what is an appropriate sanction. Remember the disclosure that contains misstatements or omissions subjects the party to the jurisdiction of the court for 5 years after the entry of the final decree or judgment.

Traditional full discovery rules are available to the parties under the rule in a form created under the rule. Remember all discovery shall be initiated so as to be completed not later than 30 days before hearing. Non-compliance with this provision may result in the exclusion of evidence that may be relevant to your case.

All counsel are encouraged to read the attachments to Rule 16.2 at the Supreme Court Web site (<http://www.coloradosupremecourt.com/>) and to incorporate those mandatory requirements into their practice immediately. For cases that are pending under the "Simplified" process, parties may wish to be brought into the new rule, as the new rule gives greater rights to the parties. Such a request than the old rule it would be reviewed on a case by case basis.

SOME REMINDERS CONCERNING DENVER COURT PROCEDURES

*By: KATHRYN BECK (WITH THANKS TO MAGISTRATE
ELIZABETH LEITH)*

In Denver, ALL initial filings are heard by the District Court Judges. This includes Status Conferences (although the Court Facilitator, Jennifer Cohen, assists with these), Temporary Orders (which you should request at the time of the Initial Status Conference), contested and non-contested Permanent Orders, ALL protection orders, and pre-decree Contempt actions. In January, the District Court assignments will change. Judge Steve Phillips will be in Courtroom 22, Judge Gloria Rivera in Courtroom 21, and Judge Larry Manzaneres in Courtroom 4.

ALL post decree matters are heard by the District Court Magistrates. Even-numbered cases are assigned to Magistrate Elizabeth Leith, and odd-numbered cases are assigned to Magistrate Diane Dupree.

Some additional procedural information you should know:

- Please be prepared to submit a written form of Order when appropriate
- No fax filings are accepted in Denver
- Mediation is almost always ordered
- Notices to Set are honored between 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. in the Magistrate's divisions
- E-filings are accepted through the main clerk's office, HOWEVER; if you want enforcement of an Agreement, the e-filed document must contain signatures
- If you want an Order, prepare a written Order rather than using the transcript as the Order. If there is a dispute as to the content of the Order, follow Rule 121. It is permissible to attach the transcript to your form of order in the event of a dispute, but the transcript should not be substituted for a written form of Order.

**2005 Tax Update for the
Redak Child Support Program**
PROVIDED BY: ROBERT F. AUCONE, CPA

Top of 10% Bracket - Single	\$ 7,300
Top of 15% Bracket - Single	\$ 29,700
Top of 25% Bracket - Single	\$ 71,950
Top of 10% Bracket - Head of Household	\$ 10,450
Top of 15% Bracket - Head of Household	\$ 39,800
Top of 25% Bracket - Head of Household	\$102,800
Top of 10% Bracket - Married	\$ 14,600
Top of 15% Bracket - Married	\$ 59,400
Top of 25% Bracket - Married	\$119,950
Standard Deduction - Single	\$ 5,000
Standard Deduction - Head of Household	\$ 7,300
Standard Deduction - Married	\$ 10,000
Exemption Amount	\$ 3,200

If you have any questions, please contact Robert F. Aucone directly at (303) 861-4545 or baucone@bkd.com.

Robert F. Aucone is a CPA with BKD, LLP.

**Family Law Section Treasurer's Report
For the 6 Months Ending December 31, 2004**

Beginning Balance	\$ 54,336.75
Revenues	
Dues Income	25,635.00
Meal Income	<u>13,660.00</u>
Total Revenues	\$ 39, 295.00
Expenses	
Other	(20.00)
Office Supplies	(369.33)
Postage	(17.83)
Photo Copies	(129.84)
Messenger/Delivery	(46.59)
Telephone	(287.83)
Meals	(9,605.32)
Administration Fee	(1,414.50)
Grants/Contributions	(500.00)
Newsletter	<u>(2,671.10)</u>
Total Expenses	\$ (15,062.34)
Ending Balance	\$78,569.41

**COMMUNICATION FACILITATION
TO REDUCE CONFLICT**

By NANCY COHEN NOWAK, MA, LPC
Center for Divorce and Parenting, Inc.

There is another option for clients in conflict who need help learning how to communicate and reduce the conflict, be it high or low, court ordered or not - what I call Communication Facilitation To Reduce Conflict.

The goal of this method is to help parents understand the nature of their conflict, their conflict styles, why their style doesn't work and its impact on children. The parents are assisted in learning new communication skills and techniques to reduce conflict. The procedure is conducted through a mediation model, so that the provider cannot testify in court, nor can the provider's notes be subpoenaed.

It is an educationally based model utilizing actual on-going conflicts of the parties, so that the information learned is meaningful and practical. As the clients learn new methods of communicating, their agreements are typed up for them so that they leave the office each time with a protocol for how to communicate. These documents can become legally binding, but only if both parties and their counsel agree.

Procedurally, each client attends an intake session (individual appointment) so that background history can be shared with the facilitator. The clients then participate in 2-hour joint sessions to work on positive communication skills. Clients should expect to attend a minimum of 3 joint meetings. More sessions may occur by Court order, at the discretion of the provider, or by agreement of the parties. Typically, most parents reach a new level of communication and understanding after 3-5 joint meetings. These can take place over a 2 - 3 month period of time, to allow the parents to practice their methods of communicating, and to give them an opportunity to change their thinking and style of working together. Many parents are choosing this model instead of the Level II class for high conflict parents.

For further information contact Nancy Cohen Nowak at 303-771-5424.

USEFUL WEBSITES FOR FAMILY LAW PRACTITIONERS

COMPILED BY ELLEN WESTON SQUIRES

Federal

First Gov - Official US Government Reference Center:

http://www.firstgov.gov/Topics/Reference_Shelf.shtml#Laws

US Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics: <http://www.bls.gov/>

United States Code Annotated (searchable database): <http://uscode.house.gov/search/criteria.php>

IRS Publications 501 (Exemptions, Standard Deductions and Filing Information): <http://www.irs.gov/publications/p501/index.html>

State

State of Colorado - Official Website: http://www.state.co.us/gov_dir/permits.html

Colorado General Assembly Homepage: <http://www.leg.state.co.us/>

Colorado Secretary of State: <http://www.secretary-of-state.org/Colorado.htm>

Colorado Department of Labor and Employment (wage and employment data):
<http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oes/data.htm>

Colorado Department of Human Services: <http://www.cdhs.state.co.us/>

Colorado Department of Health and Public Environment (official birth, death, marriage, and divorce certificates):
<http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/hs/certs.asp>

Colorado Supreme Court: <http://www.coloradosupremecourt.com/>

Colorado State Judicial Branch Homepage: <http://www.courts.state.co.us/>

Colorado State Judicial Branch - Domestic Forms: <http://www.courts.state.co.us/chs/court/forms/domestic/alldomesticforms.htm>

Colorado Chief Justice Directives (alphabetical index): <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/directives/supctdirectives.htm>

Colorado Revised Statutes, Court Rules and Constitution (searchable database):
<http://198.187.128.12/colorado/lpext.dll?f=templates&fn=fs-main.htm&2.0>

Colorado Child Support Enforcement: <http://www.childsupport.state.co.us/home/indexIndex.jsp>

Local/Special Interest

Colorado Bar Association: <http://www.cobar.org/>

Colorado Bar Association, Family Law Section: <http://www.cobar.org/group/index.cfm?EntityID=FAMILY>

The Colorado Lawyer (article index): <http://www.cobar.org/tcl/index.cfm>
<http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/directives/cjdalphaindex.htm>

Colorado Council of Mediators: <http://www.coloradomediation.org/index.htm>

Colorado Collaborative Law Professionals: <http://www.ccflp.org/>

Colorado Coalition Against Domestic Violence: <http://www.ccadv.org/>

Colorado Coalition for the Homeless: <http://www.coloradocoalition.org/>

Colorado Legal Services: <http://www.coloradolegalservices.org/co/homepage.html>

Colorado Women's Law: http://www.womenslaw.org/CO/CO_main.htm

Denver Bar Association: <http://www.denbar.org/>

Denver Public Library: <http://www.denver.lib.co.us/>

Other

LexisNexis File & Serve: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/fileandserve/>

Kelly Blue Book: <http://www.kbb.com/>

National Marriage License Information, Laws, and Requirements: <http://www.weddingvendors.com/marriage-license-laws/>

and last but not least...

CBA Comprehensive List of Law Related Website Links by Subject: <http://www.cobar.org/hotlinks.cfm>

PRO BONO VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES: FLYING SQUADS

"Flying Squads," a former project of Lend-A-Lawyer, is now a subcommittee of the Family Law Section of the Colorado Bar Association. This is a low-cost/no-cost project to assist pro-se domestic litigants and local courts. Flying Squad organizes, coordinates and provides volunteer lawyers, mediators and paralegals to mediate, negotiate, educate and assist pro-se litigants on *pro se* docket days.

This program works with the assistance and direction of local judges or courts. A local judge or court sets all pro-se domestic cases on the same day. That day, a team of volunteer lawyers, mediators and paralegals attend the docket and receives referrals from the judge. The referrals are to mediate some or all issues, help the parties prepare required paperwork (such as financial affidavits and child support worksheets), help them understand the legal process and document their agreements on state-approved forms. Volunteers do not provide legal advice, only legal information. This program is a benefit to courts and *pro se* parties as well as an opportunity for lawyers to meet pro bono goals. All work is done that day in the courthouse.

To volunteer for a date or for more information on Flying Squads, contact Gina Weitzenkorn at (303) 292-1441 or Lesleigh Monahan, (303) 936-3300.

Please note that we are also in need of volunteers for the Fort Morgan/Sterling Flying Squad on the following dates:

JANUARY:

13th-Morgan County-Judge Vannoy (p.m.)
14th - Logan County - Judge Singer (all day)

FEBRUARY:

10th - Morgan County - Judge Vannoy (p.m.)
17th - Logan County - Judge Shinn (p.m.)

MARCH:

10th - Morgan County - Judge Vannoy (p.m.)
28th - Logan County - Judge Singer (p.m.)

APRIL:

7th - Morgan County - Judge Vannoy (p.m.)
13th - Logan County - Judge Shinn (p.m.)

MAY:

10th - Logan County - Judge Singer (p.m.)
11th - Morgan County - Judge Vannoy (p.m.)

JUNE:

8th - Logan County - Judge Shinn (p.m.)
9th - Morgan County - Judge Vannoy (p.m.)

JULY:

14th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
15th-Logan County-Judge Singer (p.m.)

AUGUST:

11th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
22nd-Logan County-Judge Shinn (p.m.)

SEPTEMBER:

8th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
13th-Logan County-Judge Singer (p.m.)

OCTOBER:

13th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
18th-Logan County-Judge Shinn (p.m.)

NOVEMBER:

10th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
15th-Logan County-Judge Singer (p.m.)

DECEMBER:

8th-Morgan County-Judge Hoyer (p.m.)
13th-Logan County-Judge Shinn (p.m.)

If you would like to volunteer for a date, please complete the information below and return the form to Melissa Nicoletti at the FLS luncheon registration desk or fax to Lesleigh Monahan at (303)9630125.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone/Fax/Email: _____

Date you are available: _____

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

FAMILY LAW INSTITUTE
BRECKENRIDGE, COLORADO
AUGUST 5-7, 2005

FAMILY LAW SECTION 2005 LUNCHEON AND EXECUTIVE COUNCIL MEETING SCHEDULE

JANUARY 21, 2005, NEW RULE 16.2 IMPLEMENTATION*

FEBRUARY 18, 2005, ANNUAL CASE LAW UPDATE*

**MARCH 18, 2005, MAINTENANCE - A PANEL OF JUDGES VIEW THE ISSUE

**APRIL 15, 2005, ANNUAL ATTORNEY MEDIATOR DIALOGUE

MAY 19, 2005, ANNUAL LEGISLATIVE UPDATE***

TO REGISTER TO ATTEND THE LUNCHEON IN PERSON OR BY PHONE PLEASE VISIT THE WEB SITE AT:
[HTTP://WWW.COBAR.ORG/GROUP/INDEX.CFM?CATEGORY=555&ENTITYID=FAMILY](http://www.cobar.org/group/index.cfm?category=555&entityid=family), OR E-MAIL MELISSA
NICOLETTI AT [MELISSAN@COBAR.ORG](mailto:melissan@cobar.org). IF YOU HAVE A TOPIC SUGGESTION OR WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK, PLEASE CON-
TACT TERRY BERNUTH OR ROBERT MALMAN. (**EXECUTIVE COUNCIL MEETINGS WILL BE HELD ON THESE DATES.)

***THERE WILL BE A **Free** TELECONFERENCE FOR MEMBERS OUTSIDE THE DENVER METRO AREA, DURING THE
JANUARY, FEBRUARY AND MAY LUNCHEONS. FOR MORE DETAILS OR TO SIGN UP FOR THE CALL-IN, E-MAIL
MELISSA NICOLETTI AT [MELISSAN@COBAR.ORG](mailto:melissan@cobar.org).***

Colorado Bar Association
1900 Grant Street #950
Denver, CO 80203-4309

Non-Profit Organization
U.S. Postage
PAID
Permit #66
Denver, Colorado